## POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES THERMODYNAMICS AND COMBUSTION COMMITTEE

## archives of thermodynamics





Reviewer		Manuscript number:		
	Name, surname, degrees	•••••		
	Affiliation	Λ	1anuscri <sub>l</sub>	pt received (date)
••••	Adress	IVI	anuscrip	ot reviewed (date)
	Review of the paper intended for publication in Archive	s of T	hermo	dynamics
by	(Authors)			
ent	itled			
				unable
1.	Is the subject of work up to date?	no	yes	to comment
2.	Do the authors clearly state what they have done and why the subject deserves attention?			
3.	Are the presented results new?			
4.	Are the presented methods new?			
5.	Does the title of work reflect its contents?			
6.	Is the summary written property?			
7.	Is the nomenclature used in the text widely accepted?			
8.	Does the reference list reflect the state of the art in the discussed field?			
9.	Do the authors use SI units?			
10.	Do figures and illustrations appropriately illustrate the subject?			
11.	Level of information included*			
	• outstandingly high			
	• high			
	• average			
	• low (problems are too obvious for experts)			

12. Expected interest among readers*		
• for a wide group of knowledgeable	e readers	
• for a small group of experts		
• no interest expected		
13. Is the publication purposeful?*		
• yes – high – priority publication		
• yes – publication required		
• no – publication not required		
14.A. The work can be published*		14.B. The work is not fit for publication*
• in the form as submitted**		Short justification***:
• after editorial corrections**		
<ul> <li>after essentail improvements agreed with the reviewer**</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>if thoroughly rewritten and reviewed again**</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>if supplemented with additional investigations, rewritten and reviewed again **</li> </ul>		
15. General and detailed remarks (please,	write your remarks on	a separate sheet(s) in duplicate and sign one copy only
date		
		Referee's signature
•		Reviewer and Authors(s) of the article, which is being owing relationships between Reviewer and Author:
	_	conflict etc.; professional dependence; direct scientific
cooperation during two years before the re	eview was prepared.	
		Referee's signature

Please send review to the adress of Editorial Office: The Szewalski Institute of Fluid-Flow Machinery PASci, Fiszera 14 80-231 Gdańsk, Poland or send the scanned document to the e-mail adress: <a href="mailto:redakcja@imp.gda.pl">redakcja@imp.gda.pl</a>.

<sup>\*</sup> tick as appropriate \*\* tick as appropriate if option 14A \*\*\*provide if option 14B